

Information  
Agency

Washington, D.C. 20547

OS REGISTRY

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
87-1656X



December 3, 1987

*Jane*  
Dear Mr. ~~Schwartz~~:

The entire text is classified Confidential.

I have reviewed the final draft of the Non-Fraternization/Contact Reporting Policy which you distributed to the OSPG on November 24, and concur with its contents. I am pleased to see that the expression of the policy has changed dramatically from one of confrontation, as stated in the previous proposal of August 19, 1987, to the present tone of reasonableness. Especially gratifying is the incorporation of our proposals of September 4, 1987, into the present policy, either through paraphrasing or direct quote.

There are two minor points which I would like to address. First, Section II (SCOPE) of the final draft, which quotes the same section of the original draft, states that the policy is applicable to American contractors and Foreign Service National Employees, "assigned to the missions not included in Appendices A and B." For the sake of simplicity and clarity, perhaps this portion of the policy should be published separately (or locally, as we recommended) as (1) it appears to be out of context in this document and (2) the local RSO may wish to append additional conditions or requirements peculiar to his/her post. However, I regard the foregoing as merely an observation, rather than a reason to delay publication.

Mr. Louis Schwartz  
Chairman  
Overseas Security Policy Group  
U.S Department of State  
Washington, D.C. 20520

CLASSIFIED BY Bernard C. Dowling  
OFFICE SYMBOL M/S  
DECLASSIFY (OR DOWNGRADE) ON:  
ORIGINATING AGENCY DETERMINATION  
REQUIRED (OADR)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CONFIDENTIAL

-2-

I realize, Lou, that detailed comments on the Non-Frat policy are not required if the document is acceptable. However, I do feel it as appropriate to comment on the positive approach taken in this effort as I did to point out the negative aspects of the original draft.

Secondly, you will recall that in our September 4 comments we recommended inclusion of a provision that, "CI investigations or other activities concerning non DOS personnel will only be initiated after consultation with the parent agency." Had conditions within DS/CI not changed in the interim, I would feel compelled to insist that that provision be included in the final document. However, after having met with Ray Mislock I feel reassured that the spirit of that provision is now mutually understood and that it need not be reduced to writing.

Sincerely yours,

Bernard C. Dowling  
Director  
Office of Security

DISTRIBUTION:

- Mr. Mark E. Mulvey, Diplomatic Security
- [redacted] Central Intelligence Agency ✓
- [redacted] Defense Intelligence Agency
- Mr. C.M. Flannery, Agency for International Development
- Dr. Jerry Rubino, Department of Justice
- [redacted] National Security Agency
- Robert A. McMenamin, Department of Treasury
- Mr. Michael Cassetta, Department of Commerce
- Mr. Raymond Salazar, Federal Aviation Administration

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL